

04/06/2025

4 View Point Road – 10:30am

David K

Tom M

James Bendell (Richard)



- Richard states that the circled area is not fill, contrary to the Geotech report.
- Richard shows us videos from a flood in 2017, a very large amount of water comes along the side of his property and over his retaining walls in the circled area. Retaining walls are still in great shape
- Dave explains the new checklist we are working on the assist all residents with finding hazards in their properties
- Richard notes that his clothesline area is fill and it is natural ground to the right of it (Towards prospect hill)
- Footings for Richards property are 2 ton
- Retaining wall footings are 1.5m deep – They are on 16 prospect hills land but where installed by them both
- Richard takes us to where he believe sewerage killed one of his large Pittosporums.
- Next rain fall event David wishes to hand auger down and place a dye bomb as the contours show that this may lead to a damp area in the escarpment
- Suggestion to create a general checklist for good hillside practices for residents.

14-16 View Point 12pm

Dave Kotisakos

Thomas McMahon

Johnathan D'Helin

John D'Helin

- John states that some assumptions in PSMs report could be challenged.
- PSMs have given them a more "at risk" score compared to a report done by Cardno previously. What has caused this?

- Debris wall at the rear of the property that David was previously concerned was not built as per building permit documentation had the design changed during the building process.
- Civil test originally calculated a Circular slip failure and then lowered the debris wall once they calculated the slip as a transitional slip.
- John says that water has run down VPR as long as he can remember.
- John says the site cut was done in 2018 throughout winter and there was only one wet spot which was in the back right corner (facing VPR)